UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,928	05/31/2005	Kazuhiro Yamada	MATS:060	2470
37013 7590 04/01/2008 ROSSI, KIMMS & McDOWELL LLP. P.O. BOX 826			EXAMINER	
			MA, CALVIN	
ASHBURN, VA 20146-0826			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/536,928	YAMADA, KAZUHIRO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	CALVIN C. MA	2629
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror te, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 in 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ▼ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ▼ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examin	awn from consideration.  or election requirement.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 31 May 2005 is/are: a  Applicant may not request that any objection to the  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [ 5)  Notice of Informal 6)  Other:	Date

Art Unit: 2629

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 01/07/2008, 06/22/2007 and 05/31/2005 was received. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kasahara et al. (US Patent: 6,965,358) in view of Okuzawa et al. (US Patent: 7,102,599)

As to claim 1 and 9, Kasahara teaches a device as well as a method of displaying an image in which a single field is made of a plurality of subfields weighted with brightness, and a plurality of pieces of emission pattern information, which show an emitted state with "1" and a non-emitted state with "0," of a pixel for each subfield, are

Application/Control Number: 10/536,928

Art Unit: 2629

used for displaying one gradation level, wherein a value of gradation levels shown by each of the plurality of pieces of emission pattern information, is equal to one of the gradation levels (i.e. the emission pattern information is included in the table 1-10 where the subfield related to the gradation level is outlined) (see Fig. 4, Table 1-10); and

Page 3

an emission rate (i.e. the rate by which to total emission of the PDP display through each of the subfield), which is the plurality of pieces of emission pattern (i.e. the pattern of emission with respect to the diffusion, accumulation and dithering processes) information, of any subfield with brightness weight smaller than maximum brightness weight (i.e. all '1') of a subfield in which an average emission rate thereof is not zero (i.e. the display working to emit light), is equal to a given threshold or greater(i.e. the threshold is the value scale assigned to each of the grayscale level according the weights assigned, and since in this case the maximum and minimum values are removed to prevent errors the subfield would have a equivalent value as the threshold, the brightness weight of any subfield will be smaller than maximum brightness weight) (see Fig 2A, Col. 11, Line 40-Col. 12, Line 35).

However Kasahara is silent about the idea of having an average value for the gradation level and emission rate. Okuzawa teaches an averaging circuit that average the picture level. (i.e. the gradation level and emission rate are a way to display an image which is equivalent to the concept of picture level calculated by the APL calculation circuit 13b) (see Okuzawa, Fig. 4, Col. 7, Lines 43-48)

Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the average value calculation circuit of Okuzawa in the overall display control system of Kasahara in order to reduce the degradation of image quality due to dynamic false contour by allow a more flexible way to detect and correct degradations. (see Okuzawa, Col. 2, Lines 46-67)

As to claim 2 and 10, Kasahara teaches a device as well as a method of displaying an image as claimed in claims 1 and 9, wherein the given threshold is 0.5 (i.e. since Kasahara uses dithering and offers a balance subfield assignment table the given threshold is 0.5 which is the balanced proportion of '1' and '0' in the subfield) (see Fig. 1, Col. 5, Lines 5-43).

As to claims 3 and 11 Kasahara teaches a device and method of displaying an image as claimed in claims 1 and 9, wherein a given level of gradation is displayed by timewise changing each of the plurality of pieces of emission pattern information, for one pixel (i.e. the dithering circuit 19 changes the subfield for the frame of display in timewise progression) (see Fig. 1, Col. 5, Lines 5-29).

As to claims 4 and 12, see discussion of claims 2 and 3 above, claims 4 and 12 are rejected for the same reason as claims 3 and 11 in view of claim 2 and 10.

Art Unit: 2629

As to claims 5 and 13, Kasahara teaches a device and a method of displaying an

image as claimed in claims 1 and 9, wherein a given level of gradation is displayed by

spatially arranging each of the plurality of pieces of emission pattern information, for a

plurality of adjacent pixels (i.e. the level of gradation is determined by the interaction of

the given pixel and its neighboring pixels) (see Fig. 4, Col. 10, Lines 20-50).

As to claims 6 and 14, see discussion of claims 2 and 5 above, claims 6 and 14

are rejected for the same reason as claims 5 and 13 in view of claim 2 and 10.

As to claims 7 and 15, Kasahara teaches a method of displaying an image as

claimed in claims 3 and 11, wherein a given level of gradation is displayed by spatially

arranging each of the plurality of pieces of emission pattern information, for a plurality of

adjacent pixels (i.e. the level of gradation is achieved with nine subfield were the

subfield are adjacent pixels spatially arranged) (see Fig. 3A-3G, Col. 10, Lines 38-50).

As to claims 8 and 16, see discussion of claims 2 and 7 above, claims 8 and 16

are rejected for the same reason as claims 7 and 15 in view of claim 2 and 10.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2629

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nakamura (US Pub: 2003/0011542) is cited to teach as similar Plasma display driving system.

## Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Calvin Ma whose telephone number is (571)270-1713. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 - 5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chanh Nguyen can be reached on (571)272-7772. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Chanh Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629

Art Unit: 2629

Calvin Ma March 26, 2008